



DUBLIN
CALIFORNIA

**Landscape & Lighting
District No. 1997-1
Fiscal Year 2022-23
Final Engineer's Report**

June 21, 2022

Prepared by

**FRANCISCO
AND ASSOCIATES**

Where Innovative Strategies
Fund Tomorrow's Communities


Table of Contents

	Page No.
Certificate.....	ii
Section I - Introduction.....	1
Section II – Engineer’s Report	3
Part A – Plans and Specifications	5
Part B – Estimate of Costs	7
Part C – Assessment District Diagram	11
Part D – Method of Apportionment of Assessments.....	13
Part E - Assessment Roll	19

**ENGINEER'S REPORT
CITY OF DUBLIN
LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING DISTRICT NO. 1997-1
FISCAL YEAR 2022-23**

The undersigned, acting on behalf of Francisco & Associates respectfully submits the enclosed Engineer's Report as directed by the Dublin City Council pursuant to the provisions of Article XIID, Section 4 of the California Constitution, provisions of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 and Section 22500 et al of the California Streets and Highways Code. The undersigned certifies that he is a Professional Engineer, registered in the State of California.

Dated: May 18, 2022

By: 

Eduardo Espinoza, P.E.
RCE # 83709



SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

The City of Dublin (“City”) levies and collects special assessments on parcels within the City of Dublin Landscape and Lighting District No. 1997-1 (“District”) to maintain the landscaping and irrigation improvements within the Santa Rita area.

The assessments and method of apportionment described in this Report utilize commonly accepted assessment engineering practices and have been calculated and proportionately spread to each parcel based on the special benefits received as approved by the City Council at the time the District was formed.

General Description of the District

The District was formed to fund street landscape maintenance and utility costs for the Santa Rita development area, also known as Emerald Park. The total District area is approximately 422 developed acres bounded by Arnold Road on the west, Gleason Drive on the north, Tassajara Road on the east, and Interstate 580 on the south. A diagram of the District is included within this report.

A reduced copy of the Assessment Diagram showing the exterior boundaries of the District is provided in Part C of this Report.

Compliance with the California Constitution

Assessments are levied annually within the District pursuant to the Landscape and Lighting Act of 1972, Part 2 of Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code (“1972 Act”). All assessments described in this Report and approved by the City Council are prepared in accordance with the 1972 Act and are in compliance with the provisions of the California Constitution Article XIID (“Article XIID”), which was enacted with the passage of Proposition 218 in November 1996.

In accordance with the requirements of Proposition 218, the property owners approved a formula for increasing assessments in succeeding years that allows the maintenance cost to increase according to the Consumer Price Index and the utility cost to increase according to the actual cost of utilities.

Any future increase in the assessment rate in excess of the maximum allowable rate or substantial changes in the services provided would require the approval of the property owners subject to the assessment based upon a mailed ballot which would be sent to each property owner pursuant to the provisions of the California Constitution Article XIID.

Summary of District

A summary of the assessments to be levied in Fiscal Year 2022-23 for each property type is shown in the table below.

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS Landscape & Lighting District No. 1997-1	
<u>Development/Property Type</u>	<u>Assessment Rate</u>
California Creekside Single Family Residential (SFR)	\$128.52 per Parcel
California Brookside Multi Family Residential (MFR)	\$55.69 per Unit
Summer Glen Richmond American (North) Lots	\$112.54 per Parcel
Summer Glen Richmond American (South) Lots	\$123.85 per Parcel
Summer Glen Kaufman & Broad Lots	\$172.36 per Parcel
Summer Glen Pulte Lots	\$216.24 per Parcel
Dublin Greene Tract 7084 Single Family Residential	\$136.13 per Parcel
Dublin Greene Tract 7149 Single Family Residential	\$102.50 per Parcel
Dublin Greene Tract 7149 Condominiums	\$53.43 per Unit
Other Parcels Including Retail, Office, and Industrial ¹	\$903.85 per Acre

¹ Other Parcels includes all other land uses including commercial, retail, office, industrial, and all other parcels that do not fall into one of the developments/property types referenced above.

SECTION II

ENGINEER'S REPORT PREPARED PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972 SECTION 22500 THROUGH 22679 OF THE CALIFORNIA STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE

CITY OF DUBLIN

LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING DISTRICT NO. 1997-1 FISCAL YEAR 2022-23

Pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 (Part 2 Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California, commencing with Section 22500), and in accordance with the Resolution of Intention, adopted by the City Council of the City of Dublin on May 17, 2022, I, Eduardo Espinoza, the duly appointed Engineer of Work, Assessment Engineer for the City of Dublin Landscape and Lighting District No. 1997-1 (the "District") submit the following Report, consisting of Section I (Introduction), and this, Section II (Engineer's Report), which consists of five (5) parts as follows:

PART A: PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

This part describes the improvements maintained by the District. Plans and specifications for the improvements are on file in the Office of the Director of Public Works of the City of Dublin and are incorporated herein by reference.

PART B: ESTIMATE OF COST

This part contains an estimate of the cost of the proposed improvements to be maintained for Fiscal Year 2022-23, including incidental costs and expenses in connection therewith. The estimate is attached hereto and is on file in the Office of the Director of Public Works of the City of Dublin.

PART C: ASSESSMENT DISTRICT DIAGRAM

This part incorporates a Diagram of the District showing the exterior boundaries of the District, the boundaries of any zones within the District and the lines and dimensions of each lot or parcel of land within the District. This Diagram has been prepared by the Engineer of Work and is on file in the Office of the Director of Public Works of the City of Dublin.

The lines and dimension of each lot or parcel within the District are those lines and dimensions shown on the maps of the Alameda County Assessor for the year when this Report was prepared. The Assessor's maps and records are incorporated by reference herein and made a part of this Report.

PART D: METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT OF ASSESSMENTS

This part describes the method of apportionment of assessments, based upon each parcel's land use classification within the District in proportion to the estimated special benefits to be received.

PART E: ASSESSMENT ROLL

This part contains an assessment of the estimated cost of the improvements to be apportioned to each benefited parcel of land within the District. The Assessment Roll is filed in the Office of the City Clerk of the City of Dublin and is incorporated in this Report. The list is keyed to the records of the Alameda County Assessor, which are incorporated herein by reference.

PART A

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

The District provides for the continued maintenance and servicing of the landscaping and open space areas within the following areas:

1. Portions of the northerly and southerly street frontages for Dublin Boulevard, from Arnold Road to Tassajara Road.
2. The northerly and southerly street frontages for Central Parkway from Hacienda Drive to Tassajara Road, excluding the elementary school and Emerald Glen Park frontage landscaping.
3. The southerly street frontage for Gleason Drive, from Arnold Road to Tassajara Creek.
4. The easterly street frontage for Arnold Road, from Central Parkway to Gleason Drive.
5. The easterly street frontage for Hacienda Drive from Summer Glen Drive to Gleason Drive, and westerly street frontage for Hacienda Drive from Central Parkway to Gleason Drive.
6. Tassajara Creek and trail, from I-580 to the northerly property boundary of the Alameda County Surplus Property Authority property (APN 986-1-1-10).
7. Street median landscaping is not part of this District.

As generally defined in the 1972 Act, maintenance and servicing of the improvements may include one or any combination of the following:

1. The installation or planting of landscaping. The installation or construction of statuary, fountains, and other ornamental structures and facilities.
2. The installation or construction of any facilities which are appurtenant to any of the foregoing, or which are necessary or convenient for the maintenance or servicing thereof; including but not limited to, grading, removal of debris, the installation or construction of curbs, gutters, walls, sidewalks, paving, or water, irrigation, drainage, or electrical facilities.
3. The maintenance or servicing, or both, of any of the foregoing including the furnishing of services and materials for the ordinary and usual maintenance, operation, and servicing of any improvement, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Repair, removal, or replacement of all or any part of any improvements;
 - b. Grading, clearing, removal of debris, the installation, repair or construction of curbs, gutters, walls, sidewalks, or paving, or water, irrigation, drainage, or electrical facilities;
 - c. Providing for the life, growth, health, and beauty of landscaping, including cultivation, irrigation, trimming, spraying, fertilizing, or treating for disease or injury;
 - d. The removal of trimmings, rubbish, debris, and other solid waste;
 - e. The cleaning, sandblasting, and painting of walls and other improvements to remove or cover graffiti;
 - f. Electric current or energy, gas, or other agent for the lighting or operation of

- any other improvements;
- g. Water for the irrigation of any landscaping, the operation of any fountains, or the maintenance of any other improvements.
4. Incidental expenses associated with the improvements including, but not limited to:
- a. The cost of preparation of the report, including plans, specifications, estimates, diagram, and assessment;
 - b. The costs of printing, advertising, and the publishing, posting, and mailing of notices;
 - c. Compensation payable to the County for collection of assessments;
 - d. Compensation of any engineer or attorney employed to render services;
 - e. Any other expenses incidental to the construction, installation, or maintenance and servicing of the improvements; and,
 - f. Costs associated with any elections held for the approval of a new or increased assessment.

Pursuant to the 1972 Act:

"Maintain" or "maintenance" means the furnishing of services and materials for the ordinary and usual maintenance, operation, and servicing of any improvement, including repair, removal, or replacement of all or any part of any improvement.

"Service" or "servicing" means the furnishing of electric current or energy, gas, or other illuminating agent for any public lighting facilities or for the lighting or operation of any other improvements.

Drawings showing the specific locations of the improvements are on file in the City's Public Works Department and are made a part of this report by reference.

PART B

ESTIMATE OF COSTS

All landscaping and other eligible improvements within the District are maintained and serviced on a regular basis. The proposed cost estimate for the District is shown on a following page herein. This includes an estimate of the costs of utilities, operations, services, administration, and maintenance associated with the improvements, including all labor, personnel, equipment, materials, and administrative expenses. The summary also shows the estimated fund balance, and the projected contribution/(transfer) based upon the estimated expenditures and assessment revenue. Projected cost estimates are also shown for the next two (2) fiscal years.

The following describes the general services and costs shown in the cost estimate.

District Costs

Maintenance Landscape – The cost of maintenance and repair of landscaping and open space areas.

Utilities Water – The cost of furnishing water for maintenance of landscape and irrigation systems.

Utilities Electricity – The cost of electricity for maintenance of landscape, powering of irrigation systems, and entry lighting within the District.

Miscellaneous Expenses – Costs that cannot easily be categorized into any of the other District Costs defined above.

Legal Notices – The cost of legal notices includes costs associated with preparation and publishing of any, and all required legal notices associated with the District.

District Administration – The costs of contracting with professionals to provide services specific to the annual levy administration, including preparation of the Engineer's Report, resolutions, and levy submittal to the County. These fees can also include any additional administrative, legal, or engineering services specific to the District such as the cost to prepare and mail notices of the public meeting and hearing.

Public Works Administration – The cost of public works administration includes costs derived by the City's Public Works Department or other department in relation to the administration and management of the District.

County Collection Fee – The cost to the District for the County to collect assessments on the annual secured property tax bills. Alameda County charges 1.7% of the total levy amount.

Miscellaneous Costs and Collections/(Credits) Applied to Levy

Reserve Collection/(Transfer) – The 1972 Act pursuant to *Chapter 1, Article 4 Section 22569 (a)*, provides for a District Reserve Fund for operations. Negative amounts shown for this cost estimate item represent transfers from the Reserve Fund that reduces the Balance to Levy. Maintaining a fully funded Operating Reserve eliminates the need for the City to transfer funds from non-District accounts to pay for operational expenses during the first half of the fiscal year and provides the District with sufficient funds to address any unforeseen or unusual expenditures that may occur during the year.

Capital Improvement Fund Collection/(Transfer) – The 1972 Act pursuant to *Chapter 5, beginning with Section 22660*, provides for the District to establish by resolution an assessment installment plan for proposed improvements and expenditures that are greater than can be conveniently raised from a single annual assessment. Depending on the nature of the planned improvements, the collection of funds necessary to complete the project may be collected over a period up to thirty years, but typically not more than five years. The funds collected shall be accumulated in a separate improvement fund commonly referred to as a Capital Improvement Fund (CIF) and are not considered part of the regular maintenance of the improvements or the Reserve Fund.

Because the money accumulated in the Capital Improvement Fund is for a specific planned project (budgeted separately), the amount shown for this item in the annual cost estimate will typically be a positive number representing the amount being collected that year as part of the Balance to Levy. A negative number (Transfer) should only occur after the project has been completed and excess funds are being credited back to the District's regular accounts. The actual fund balances and expenditures for capital improvements are clearly identified under the Fund Balance Information section of the Cost estimate.

Balance to Levy – This is the total amount to be collected for the current fiscal year through the annual assessments (for special benefits). The Balance to Levy represents the sum of Total District (Direct and Administration) Costs, Reserve Fund Contributions or Transfers, Contributions from Other Revenue Sources, and the Contribution Replenishment (if any). Only those costs related to the improvements identified as conferring special benefits to property within the District are levied and collected on the tax roll.

The following page shows the cost estimates for Fiscal Year 2022-23 and 2023-24.

Table 2: City of Dublin Landscape & Lighting District No. 1997-1		
	FY 2022-23 Cost Estimate	Projected FY 2023-24 Cost Estimate
DISTRICT REVENUES		
Estimated Assessment Revenues	\$ 380,680	\$ 388,294
Contributions from Other Revenue Sources	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,000
Total District Revenues¹	\$ 390,680	\$ 399,294
DISTRICT COSTS		
Maintenance - Landscape (MCE Base Amount)	\$ 209,753	\$ 213,377
Maintenance - Landscape (MCE Additional)	\$ -	\$ -
Utilities - Water	\$ 115,920	\$ 121,716
Utilities - Electricity	\$ 655	\$ 688
Miscellaneous Expenses	\$ 14,000	\$ 14,000
Legal Notices	\$ 575	\$ 592
District Administration	\$ 3,410	\$ 3,512
Public Works Administration	\$ -	\$ -
County Collection Fee	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,210
Total District Costs	\$ 351,313	\$ 361,095
MISCELLANEOUS COSTS AND COLLECTIONS/(CREDITS) APPLIED TO LEVY		
Reserve Collection/(Transfer)	\$ -	\$ 4,891
Capital Improvement Fund (CIF) Collection/(Transfer)	\$ 39,367	\$ 33,308
Total Miscellaneous Costs and Collections/(Credits) Applied to Levy	\$ 39,367	\$ 38,199
Balance to Levy	\$ 380,680	\$ 388,294
Variance Between Estimated Assessment Revenues and Balance to Levy	\$ -	\$ -
Total Assessment Revenue at Maximum Rate²	\$ 668,937	\$ 682,315
Variance above/(below) Maximum Assessment Revenue	\$ (288,257)	\$ (294,022)
FUND BALANCE INFORMATION		
Beginning Operating Reserve Fund Balance (est. 7/1/22 and 7/1/23)	\$ 175,657	\$ 175,657
Reserve Fund Adjustment	\$ -	\$ 4,891
Transfer From/(To) Capital Improvement Fund	\$ -	\$ -
Prior/Penalties/Public Damage	\$ -	\$ -
Interest	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Operating Reserve Fund Balance (est. 6/30/23 and 6/30/24)	\$ 175,657	\$ 180,548
Beginning CIF Balance (est. 7/1/22 and 7/1/23)	\$ 1,042,148	\$ 886,514
CIF Adjustment	\$ 39,367	\$ 33,308
Transfer Out For CIP	\$ (195,000)	\$ -
Transfer From/(To) Reserve Fund	\$ -	\$ -
Prior/Penalties/Public Damage	\$ -	\$ -
Interest	\$ -	\$ -
Ending CIF Balance (est. 6/30/23 and 6/30/24)	\$ 886,514	\$ 919,822

¹Total District Revenues includes the estimated assessment revenues for each fiscal year and contributions from other revenue sources such as interest earnings, etc.

²The Maximum Assessment Revenue for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24 was estimated to be equal to the FY 2022/23 Maximum Assessment Revenues and escalated by 2%.

Capital Improvement Projects

In addition to collecting funds annually for maintenance, funds are also allowed to be collected for capital improvement projects. Capital improvement projects generally include the repair and replacement of public improvements authorized to be maintained by the District. These funds are collected and often accumulated in a separate fund and are not considered to be a part of the regular maintenance of the improvements.

Following is a list of capital improvement projects the City plans to address utilizing District funds in the upcoming fiscal year and thereafter.

General Improvements: The City has identified the need for minor sound wall repairs, as well as pavement/trail repairs at Tassajara Creek, which are outside of the scope of the regular maintenance budget for this District. Repairs will be addressed based upon the need and available fund balance in the upcoming two-year cycle.

Persimmon Drive Asphalt Path Rehabilitation: The City has identified the need for rehabilitation of the asphalt trail adjacent to Persimmon Drive.


PART C

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT DIAGRAM

The boundaries of the District are shown herein. The lines and dimensions of each parcel within the District are those lines and dimensions shown on the maps of the Alameda County Assessor for the year in which this Report was prepared and are incorporated by reference herein and made part of this Report.

A reduced copy of the Assessment Diagram is shown on the following page.

City of Dublin Landscape and Lighting District No. 1997-1 Assessment Diagram



PART D

METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT OF ASSESSMENTS

General

The 1972 Act permits the establishment of assessment districts by agencies for the purpose of providing certain public improvements which include the construction, maintenance and servicing of public lights, landscaping, and appurtenant facilities. The 1972 Act further requires that the cost of these improvements be levied according to benefit rather than assessed value:

“The net amount to be assessed upon lands within an assessment district may be apportioned by any formula or method which fairly distributes the net amount among all assessable lots or parcels in proportion to the estimated benefits to be received by each such lot or parcel from the improvements.”

The formula used for calculating assessments in the District therefore reflects the composition of the parcels, and the improvements and services provided, to fairly apportion the costs based on estimated benefit to each parcel. In addition, pursuant to Article XIID Section 4:

“No assessment shall be imposed on any parcel which exceeds the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred on that parcel. Only special benefits are assessable, and an agency shall separate the general benefits from the special benefits conferred on a parcel.”

Benefit Analysis

Each of the improvements have been carefully reviewed by the City and the corresponding assessments have been proportionately spread to each parcel based on special benefits received from the improvements as determined at the time the District was established.

General Benefits — In reviewing each of the District improvements, the proximity of those improvements to both properties within the District and those outside the District, as well as the reasons for installing and constructing such improvements, it is evident that the improvements are solely the result of developing properties within the District and the ongoing maintenance and operation of these improvements will directly affect the properties within the District. Although the improvements include public areas, easements, rights-of-way, and other amenities available or visible to the public at large, the construction and installation of these improvements were only necessary for the development of properties within the District and were not required, nor necessarily desired by any properties or developments outside the District boundary and any public access or use of the improvements by others is incidental. Therefore, it has been

determined that the improvements and the ongoing maintenance, servicing and operation of those improvements provide no measurable general benefit to properties outside the District or to the public at large, but clearly provide distinct and special benefits to properties within the District.

Special Benefits — The method of apportionment (method of assessment) established herein is based on the premise that each assessed parcel within the District receives special benefits from the improvements and the desirability of those properties enhanced by the presence of well-maintained landscaping in close proximity to those properties.

The special benefits associated with landscape improvements are specifically:

- 1) Enhanced desirability of properties through association with the improvements.
- 2) Improved aesthetic appeal of properties providing a positive representation of the area and properties.
- 3) Enhanced adaptation of the urban environment within the natural environment from adequate green space and landscaping.
- 4) Environmental enhancement through improved erosion resistance, dust and debris control, and fire prevention.
- 5) Increased sense of pride in ownership of property within the District resulting from well-maintained improvements associated with the properties.
- 6) Enhanced quality of life through well-maintained green space and landscaped areas.
- 7) Reduced criminal activity and property-related crimes (especially vandalism) against properties in the District through well-maintained surroundings and amenities including abatement of graffiti.
- 8) Enhanced environmental quality of the parcels by moderating temperatures, providing oxygenation, and attenuating noise.

The preceding special benefits contribute to the aesthetic value and desirability of each of the assessed parcels within the District and thereby provide a special enhancement of the properties. Furthermore, it has been determined that the lack of funding to properly service and maintain the District improvements would have a negative impact on the properties within the District.

Non-Assessable Properties — Within the boundaries of the District, there are several types of properties that are considered to receive no special benefit from the District improvements and are therefore not assessed. These parcels include:

- 1) Publicly owned parcels that are reserved as public open space or are developed as City parks for active recreation and are maintained and serviced by the District;
- 2) Publicly owned wetland parcels;
- 3) Certain public utility parcels;
- 4) Privately owned open space parcels; and
- 5) Privately owned "sliver" parcels that have resulted from a lot line adjustment with an adjacent larger parcel.

Assessment Methodology

The initial Engineer's Report provided for a maximum assessment of \$710 per developed acre, based on a total of 389,400 square feet of street improvements and a total of 957,000 square feet of creek improvements and 440.08 acres of developed property anticipated at the time of formation for build-out of all property within the District. The cost of the improvements was divided equally among the total number of developed properties within the boundaries of the District on a per acre basis.

"Developed acres" shall include property having a recorded final map or parcel map as of July 1st of each year.

The following formulas are used to calculate each property's assessment:

1. Cost of maintenance of installed improvements ("M").
2. Total amount of developed acres ("A").
3. The annual assessment for the developed acres for that year ("M") shall be spread on a per-acre basis ($M \div A$) based on the following:
 - a. Commercial, retail, office, industrial, and all other parcel classifications not referenced herein' developed area, per acre basis.
 - b. Single-family residential area, per acre basis.
 - c. Multi-family residential area, per acre basis.
4. The single-family and multi-family residential area per acre assessment will be further spread on a per lot basis based on the number of lots on the final map, parcel map, or condominium map for each development.
5. If the initial assessment in any year would exceed \$710 per acre, the amount in excess of \$710 shall be assessed to the remaining undeveloped acres on a per acre basis, subject to the maximum developed acre amount.
6. The maximum developed acre assessment of \$710 per year will be increased annually by the percentage increase in the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose Area Consumer Price Index for "All Urban Consumers and Clerical Workers" (applies to all costs except water and electricity), plus any budgeted increase in the cost for water and electricity.
7. If the square footage cost of the improvements will be less than the amount set forth herein (after adjustment), the assessment shall be reduced proportionately to reflect the reduced cost of improvements in that year.

Rate Classifications

Single and Multi-family residential subdivisions within the District will be assigned a rate on a per lot basis. To determine the assessment per lot, the number of acres within the subdivision will be divided by the number of lots within the subdivision.

Commercial (including retail, office, and industrial uses, as well as apartment properties) are assessed based on the per acre figure of \$903.85 multiplied by the number of acres per individual lot for Fiscal Year 2022-23.

Maximum Assessment Rate

It is recognized that the cost of maintaining the District improvements increases slightly every year because of inflation. As at the time the District was formed, the property owners approved a formula for increasing assessments for each future fiscal year to offset increases in costs due to inflation.

The maximum assessment amount for each development/property type shall be increased each fiscal year in an amount equal to the annual percentage increase of the local San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose Area Consumer Price Index (“Index”) for “All Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers” which is applied to all costs except utilities (water and electricity), plus the actual percentage increase in utility costs (collectively, “Annual Escalation Factor”).

In order to implement the District’s Annual Escalation Factor annually, two rates were developed based on the cost estimate that was prepared at the time the property owners approved the annual escalator. The first rate (the “CPI Rate”) was created to encompass the annual District cost increases except for utilities. The second rate (the “Utility Rate”) was created to encompass the annual utility cost increases.

The Index is to be applied annually to the prior year’s maximum CPI Rate for each development/property type to pay for all costs except utilities. The maximum Utility Rate was established to pay for all utility costs for each development/property type and is to be increased annually by the percentage change in the budgeted amount for utilities as shown in the annual District’s fiscal year Engineer’s Reports. The increase in utility costs shall be calculated by taking the upcoming fiscal year’s budgeted amount for utilities and subtracting the District’s previous highest yearly budgeted amount for utilities. This difference is used to calculate the annual increase in the Utility Rate for each development/property type. The combination of the maximum CPI Rate and maximum Utility Rate (collectively, “Maximum Assessment Rate”) shall equal the Maximum Assessment Rate for the upcoming fiscal year. Please see Table 3 for details regarding the Maximum Assessment Rate for Fiscal Year 2022-23.

The timing of the annual percentage increase of the Index was changed beginning in 2018 and for each year thereafter for administrative purposes, to ensure the City is

**CITY OF DUBLIN
 LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING DISTRICT No. 1997-1 FY 2022-23 METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT**

allowed the time necessary to have all annual documents prepared and approved by the City Council by June of each year, and to meet both County submittal and statutory requirements. Each fiscal year starting in 2018, the City shall identify the annual percentage difference in the Index between the most recent calendar year and that of the prior calendar year; more specifically, the annual percentage change used to escalate the prior year’s assessment rates for Fiscal Year 2022-23 was determined based on the difference using the annual amount for calendar years 2021 and 2020. Should the Bureau of Labor Statistics revise such Index or discontinue the preparation of such Index, the City shall use the revised index or comparable index as approved by the City Council for determining fluctuations in the cost of living. The following table shows the Maximum Assessment Rates allowable for Fiscal Year 2022-23.

TABLE 3: MAXIMUM ASSESSMENT RATE Landscape & Lighting District No. 1997-1	
CPI for 2020 Calendar Year ¹	292.601
CPI for 2021 Calendar Year ¹	304.602
% Change in CPI - Increase	4.10%
FY 2021/22 Maximum Rate per Acre - CPI Rate	\$1,257.66
FY 2022/23 Maximum Rate per Acre - CPI Rate	\$1,309.24
Prior Year Maximum Utility Budget ²	\$114,179
Utility Budget for FY 2022/23	\$116,575
% Change in Utilities Rate - Increase ³	2.098%
FY 2021/22 Maximum Rate per Acre - Utility Rate	\$273.27
FY 2022/23 Maximum Rate per Acre - Utility Rate	\$279.01
FY 2021/22 Maximum Assessment Rate per Acre	\$1,530.93
FY 2022/23 Maximum Assessment Rate per Acre ⁴	\$1,588.25

¹ CPI is based off of the All Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers.
² The FY 2014/15 Budget Amount for Utilities was the previous highest amount budgeted for utility costs.
³ Equals the % difference between the Prior Year Utility Budget and the Current Year Utility Budget. If the Utility Budget does not increase, no increase will be realized.
⁴ Equals the FY 2022/23 Maximum CPI Rate + Maximum Utility Rate.

If the City Council determines that an inflation adjustment is not required for a given fiscal year, the City Council may authorize the ensuing fiscal year’s assessment without applying the adjustment formula to the amount levied. If the cost estimate and

CITY OF DUBLIN
LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING DISTRICT No. 1997-1 FY 2022-23 METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT

assessments for the District require an increase greater than the adjustment set forth in the formula, then the proposed increase would be subject to approval by the District property owners. Each fiscal year, the maximum assessment rate shall increase at the maximum amount allowable regardless of whether the increase is levied to the parcels within the District.

PART E

ASSESSMENT ROLL

The proposed assessment and the amount of the assessment apportioned to each lot or parcel, as shown on the latest roll at the Assessor's Office, are on file under separate cover with the City Clerk. Furthermore, the description of each lot or parcel is part of the records of the Assessor of the County of Alameda and those records are, by reference, made part of this report. The assessments shown will be submitted to the County Auditor/Controller and included on the property tax roll for each parcel shown in the assessment roll for Fiscal Year 2022-23.

Parcel identification, the lines and dimensions of each lot, parcel, and subdivision of land within the District, are inclusive of the parcels as shown on the Alameda County Assessor's Parcel Maps as they existed at the time of the passage of the Resolution of Intention, and shall include subsequent subdivisions, lot line adjustments or parcel changes therein. Reference is hereby made to the Alameda County Assessor's maps for a detailed description of the lines and dimensions of each lot and parcel of land within the District.